

# Periodic bifurcation points of nonlinear nonautonomous measure differential equations

**Maria Carolina Stefani Mesquita Macena & Milan Tvrdý**

Department of Mathematics, Federal University of São Carlos, Brazil



&

Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences



This contribution is devoted to periodic problems for differential like equations of the form

$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T),$$
$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) du(s),$$
$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t DF(x(\tau), \sigma) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x, t)$$

## Content

- 1. Preliminaries
- 2. Distributional differential equations
- 3. Distributions
- 4. Generalized differential equations
- 5. Existence of bifurcation points
- 6. One example
- 7. Necessary conditions
- 8. Examples
- 9. References

- $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ ,
- $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is **regulated** on  $[a, b]$  if  
 $f(s+) := \lim_{\tau \rightarrow s+} f(\tau) \in X$  for  $s \in [a, b)$ ,  $f(t-) := \lim_{\tau \rightarrow t-} f(\tau) \in X$  for  $t \in (a, b]$ ,
- $\Delta^+ f(s) = f(s+) - f(s)$ ,  $\Delta^- f(t) = f(t) - f(t-)$ ,  $\Delta f(t) = f(t+) - f(t-)$ .
- $G[a, b] = \{f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n; f \text{ is regulated on } [a, b]\}$ .  
 $(G[a, b] \text{ is Banach space with respect to the norm } \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \|f(t)\|)$ .
  - regulated functions are uniform limits of finite step functions,
  - regulated functions have at most countably many points of discontinuity.
- $BV[a, b] = \{f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n; \text{var}_a^b f < \infty\}$   
 is the space of functions with **bounded variation** on  $[a, b]$ .  
 $BV[a, b] \subset G[a, b]$ .

We will consider periodic problem for distributional (measure) differential system

$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T). \quad (P)$$

## Basic assumptions (A)

- $T > 0$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  are open,
- $f : \Lambda \times \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $g : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is BV on  $[0, T]$  and left-continuous, **not necessarily monotonous**
- $u(0-) = u(0)$ ,  $u(T+) = u(T)$ ,
- $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- $Dx$  and  $Du$  are distributional derivatives of  $x$  and  $u$ , respectively,
- $g(x, t) \cdot Du$  stands for the distributional product.

**Test functions:**  $\mathcal{D} = \{\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \varphi^{(j)}(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \notin (0, T)\}$ .

$$\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi_0 \iff \lim_k \|\varphi_k^{(j)} - \varphi_0^{(j)}\| = 0 \text{ for } j \in N \cup \{0\}.$$

**Distributions:** linear continuous functionals on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

- $\mathcal{D}^*$  is the space of distributions on  $[0, T]$ .
- $f \in \mathcal{D}^*, \varphi \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \langle f, \varphi \rangle$  is the value of  $f$  on  $\varphi$ .
- $f \in L_1[0, T] \Rightarrow \langle f, \varphi \rangle := \int_0^T f(t) \varphi(t) dt \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{D}^*$ .
- $0 \in \mathcal{D}^*$  is a measurable function vanishing a.e. on  $[0, T]$ .
- $f \in G[0, T]$  left-continuous on  $(0, T] \Rightarrow f = 0 \in \mathcal{D}^*$  iff  $f(t) \equiv 0$ .
- For  $f \in \mathcal{D}^*$ , the symbol  $Df$  stands for its **distributional derivative**, i.e.

$$Df : \varphi \in \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \langle Df, \varphi \rangle = - \langle f, \varphi' \rangle \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

- $f \in AC[0, T] \Rightarrow Df = f'$ .
- $Df = 0$  iff  $f \in L_1^n[0, T]$  and  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $f(t) = c$  a.e. on  $[0, T]$ .

$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad (\text{P})$$

### Definition

$x: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a **solution** of (P) if:

- $x \in G[0, T]$  is left-continuous on  $(0, T]$ ,  $x(t) \in \Omega$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,
- distributional product  $(g \circ x) \cdot Du$  has a sense,  $x(0) = x(T)$  and
 
$$\langle Dx, \varphi \rangle = \langle (f \circ x), \varphi \rangle + \langle (g \circ x) \cdot Du, \varphi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

$$x: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow (f \circ x)(t) = f(\lambda, x(t), t), \quad (g \circ x) = g(x(t), t)$$

- $f \in \mathcal{D}^*$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \langle f.g, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, g\varphi \rangle$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- $f.g \in L^1[0, T] \Rightarrow \langle f.g, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^T f(t)g(t)\varphi(t) dt$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^n$ .

**In the space of distributions we do not have any general definition of a product**

- $f \in \mathcal{D}^*$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \langle f.g, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, g\varphi \rangle$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- $f.g \in L^1[0, T] \Rightarrow \langle f.g, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^T f(t)g(t)\varphi(t) dt$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^n$ .

**In the space of distributions we do not have any general definition of a product**

### Definition

If the KS integral  $\int_0^T g dh \in \mathbb{R}^n$  exists, we define  $g.Dh = DH$ , where  $H(t) := \int_0^t g dh$ .

- $g.Dh$  is well-defined if  $g, h \in G[0, T]$  and at least one of them is in BV.
- $D(f.g) = Df.g + f.Dg + Df.\Delta^+\tilde{g} - \Delta^-\tilde{f}.Dg$ , where

$$\Delta^+\tilde{g}(t) = \begin{cases} \Delta^+g(t) & \text{if } t < T, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = T \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^-\tilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \Delta^-f(t) & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$



$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad (\text{P})$$

$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) du(s) \quad (\text{I})$$

$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad (\text{P})$$

$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) du(s) \quad (\text{I})$$

$$x(t) = x(T) + (L) \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + (LS) \int_{[0,t)} g(x(s), s) d\mu_u, \quad (\text{L})$$

( $\mu_u$  is a signed measure generated by  $u \in BV[0, T]$ )

$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad (\text{P})$$

$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) du(s) \quad (\text{I})$$

$$x(t) = x(T) + (L) \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + (LS) \int_{[0,t)} g(x(s), s) d\mu_u, \quad (\text{L})$$

( $\mu_u$  is a signed measure generated by  $u \in BV[0, T]$ )

[G.A. Monteiro, A. Slavík & M. T.: Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral., Sec.6.12]  $\implies$

$$(LS) \int_{[0,T)} g d\mu_u \text{ exists} \implies \int_0^T g du = (LS) \int_{[0,T)} g d\mu_u,$$

$\implies$  (L) is a special case of (I).

$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) du(s) \quad (I)$$

## Proposition

Assume: (A) and

$$(B) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(\lambda, \cdot, \cdot) \text{ is Carathéodory on } \Omega \times [0, T] \text{ for any } \lambda \in \Lambda; \\ g(\cdot, t) \text{ is continuous on } \Omega \text{ for } t \in [0, T] \text{ and there is } m_U \text{ such that:} \\ \int_0^T m_U(s) d[\text{var}_0^s U] < \infty \wedge \|g(x, t)\| \leq m_U(t) \text{ for } (\lambda, x, t) \in \Lambda \times \Omega \times [0, T]. \end{array} \right.$$

Then: any solution of (I) is in  $BV[0, T]$  and left-continuous.

*Sketch of proof:*

$$r(t) := \int_0^t g(x(s), s) d u(s) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T] \text{ and } \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_m\} \text{ division of } [0, T]$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^m \|r(\alpha_j) - r(\alpha_{j-1})\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\alpha_j} \|g(x(s), s)\| d[\text{var}_0^s u]$$

$$\leq \int_0^T m_U d[\text{var}_0^s u] < \infty, \quad \text{i.e. } r \in BV[0, T].$$

$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad (\text{P})$$

$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) du(s). \quad (\text{I})$$

### Theorem

Assume: (A) and (B).     Then: (P) and (I) are equivalent.

#### Sketch of proof:

Let  $x$  be a solution of (P).

Then, by definition,  $x \in G[0, T]$  is left-continuous,  $x(t) \in \Omega$  for  $t \in [0, T]$  and

$$D(x - F_\lambda(x)) = 0 \in \mathcal{D}^*,$$

where

$$F_\lambda(x)(t) = \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) du(s) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

$x - F_\lambda(x) \in BV[0, T]$  is left-continuous for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda \Rightarrow$

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^n : x(t) - F_\lambda(x)(t) = c \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda, t \in [0, T].$$

It follows that  $x(0) = x(T) = c$  and  $x$  is a solution to (I). □

Put  $F(\lambda, x, t) = \int_0^t f(\lambda, x, s) ds + \int_0^t g(x, s) d u(s)$  for  $(\lambda, x, t) \in \Lambda \times \Omega \times [0, T]$ .

### Proposition (Schwabik)

Assume: (A) and (B).

Then: for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there are

$$\begin{cases} \varkappa_\lambda : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} & \text{nondecreasing and left-continuous,} \\ \omega_\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} & \text{continuous, increasing, } \omega_\lambda(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

such that  $F(\lambda, \cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega \times [0, T], \varkappa_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , i.e.

$$\|F(\lambda, x, t_2) - F(\lambda, x, t_1)\| \leq |\varkappa_\lambda(t_2) - \varkappa_\lambda(t_1)|,$$

$$\|F(\lambda, x, t_2) - F(\lambda, x, t_1) - F(\lambda, y, t_2) + F(\lambda, y, t_1)\| \leq \omega(\|x - y\|) |\varkappa_\lambda(t_2) - \varkappa_\lambda(t_1)|$$

for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $x, y \in \Omega$ ,  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$ .

Moreover,

$$\int_0^t f(\lambda, x(r), r) dr + \int_0^t g(x(r), r) d u(r) = \int_0^t DF(\lambda, x(\tau), \sigma)$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $x \in G[0, T]$  such that  $x(s) \in \Omega$  for all  $s \in [0, T]$ .

$$\int_0^t DF(\lambda, x(\tau), \sigma) \approx \sum_P [F(\lambda, x(\tau_j), \sigma_j) - F(\lambda, x(\tau_j), \sigma_{j-1})],$$

where  $P = \{\tau_j, [\sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_j]\}$  are tagged partitions of  $[0, t]$ .

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t DF(x(\tau), \sigma) \quad (\text{K})$$

### Theorem (Kurzweil, Schwabik)

#### Assume:

- $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega \times [0, T], \varkappa, \omega)$ ,
- $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega$  and  $x_0 + F(x_0, t_0+) - F(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega$ .

Then: (K) has a solution  $x$  on a neighborhood of  $t_0$  such that  $x(t_0) = x_0$ .

$$F(\lambda, x, t) = \int_0^t f(\lambda, x, s) ds + \int_0^t g(x, s) d u(s) \Rightarrow$$

$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t DF(\lambda, x(\tau), \sigma) \equiv (\text{P}) \equiv (\text{I}).$$

$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t DF(\lambda, x(\tau), \sigma) \quad (\tilde{P})$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda, x)(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t DF(\lambda, x(\tau), \sigma) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Lambda, x \in \overline{B(x_0, \rho)}, t \in [0, T].$$

Then

$$(\tilde{P}) \Leftrightarrow x = \tilde{\Phi}(x).$$

**Definition** (Krasnoselskii & Zabreiko, 1984 or Amann, 1990)

Let  $x_0$  be a solution of  $(\tilde{P})$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Then  $(\lambda_0, x_0)$  is a **bifurcation point** of  $(\tilde{P})$  if every its neighborhood in  $\Lambda \times G[0, T]$  contains a solution  $(\lambda, x)$  of  $(\tilde{P})$  such that  $x \neq x_0$ .



$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t DF(\lambda, x(\tau), \sigma) \quad (\tilde{P})$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda, x)(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t DF(\lambda, x(\tau), \sigma) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Lambda, x \in \overline{B(x_0, \rho)}, t \in [0, T].$$

Then  $(\tilde{P}) \Leftrightarrow x = \tilde{\Phi}(x)$ .

**Definition** (Krasnoselskii & Zabreiko, 1984 or Amann, 1990)

Let  $x_0$  be a solution of  $(\tilde{P})$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Then  $(\lambda_0, x_0)$  is a **bifurcation point** of  $(\tilde{P})$  if every its neighborhood in  $\Lambda \times G[0, T]$  contains a solution  $(\lambda, x)$  of  $(\tilde{P})$  such that  $x \neq x_0$ .

In other words,  $(\lambda_0, x_0)$  is a **bifurcation point** of  $(\tilde{P})$  if

$\exists$  sequence  $\{(\lambda_n, x_n)\}$  of solutions to  $(\tilde{P})$  tending to  $(\lambda_0, x_0)$ , while  $x_n \neq x_0 \forall n$ .

$$x(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t DF(\lambda, x(\tau), \sigma) \Leftrightarrow x = \Phi(x, \lambda) \quad (\tilde{P})$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda, x)(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t DF(\lambda, x(\tau), \sigma)$$

Assumptions  $(\tilde{C})$ 

- (i)  $x_0 \equiv 0$  is a solution of (P) for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $x(t) \in \Omega$  for all  $x \in B(x_0, \rho) \subset G[0, T]$ .
- (ii)  $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega \times [0, T], \varkappa, \omega)$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (iii) There is  $\gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  nondecreasing and such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|F(\lambda_1, x, t) - F(\lambda_2, x, t) - F(\lambda_1, x, s) + F(\lambda_2, x, s)\| < \varepsilon |\gamma(t) - \gamma(s)|$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $t, s \in [0, T]$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$  such that  $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| < \delta$ .

$(\tilde{C}) \Rightarrow \tilde{\Phi}$  is continuous on  $\Lambda \times B(x_0, \rho)$ .

## Theorem (Federson, Mawhin &amp; C. Mesquita)

Assume:  $(\tilde{C})$  and there exist  $[\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*] \subset \Lambda$  is such that

$$\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_1^*, \cdot), x_0) \neq \text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_2^*, \cdot), x_0).$$

Then: there is  $\lambda_0 \in [\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*]$  such that  $(\lambda_0, x_0)$  is a bifurcation point of  $(\tilde{P})$ .

$$Dx = f(x, t) + g(\lambda, x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad (\text{P})$$

$$\Phi(\lambda, x)(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(\lambda, x(s), s) du(s)$$

## Assumptions (C)

- (i)  $x_0 \equiv 0$  is a solution of (P) for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $x(t) \in \Omega$  for all  $x \in B(x_0, \rho)$ .
- (ii) There is  $\gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  nondecreasing and such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \int_s^t [g(\lambda_2, x, r) - g(\lambda_1, x, r)] d \text{var}_0^r u \right\| < \varepsilon |\gamma(t) - \gamma(s)|$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $t, s \in [0, T]$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Omega$  such that  $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| < \delta$ .

## Corollary

Assume: (A), (B), (C) and there exist  $[\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*] \subset \Lambda$  is such that

$$\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \Phi(\lambda_1^*, \cdot), x_0) \neq \text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \Phi(\lambda_2^*, \cdot), x_0).$$

Then: there is  $\lambda_0 \in [\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*]$  such that  $(\lambda_0, x_0)$  is a bifurcation point of (P).

## Definition (Leray-Schauder index)

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\Omega \subset X$  be open and bounded. Let  $\Phi : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow X$  be compact and let  $a$  be an isolated fixed point of  $\Phi$ . Then

$$\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \Phi, a) = \text{deg}_{LS}[\text{Id} - \Phi, B(a, r), 0] \quad \text{for small } r > 0.$$

## Proposition

- (i) Let  $\mathcal{L} : X \rightarrow X$  be a compact linear operator. Let  $R : B(0, \rho) \rightarrow X$  a compact operator such that

$$\lim_{\|x\|_X \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|R(x)\|_X}{\|x\|_X} = 0.$$

If  $\lambda$  is not a characteristic value of  $\mathcal{L}$ , then

$$\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \lambda \mathcal{L} - R, 0) = \text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \lambda \mathcal{L}, 0) = \pm 1.$$

- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2 : X \rightarrow X$  be compact linear operators such that  $\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_2$  are invertible. Then

$$\text{ind}_{LS}((\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_1)(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_2), 0) = \text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_1, 0) \text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}_2, 0).$$

- (iii) Let  $\mathcal{L} : X \rightarrow X$  be a compact linear operator. If  $\lambda_0$  is a characteristic number of  $\mathcal{L}$  of algebraic multiplicity  $\alpha_0$ , then

$$\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - (\lambda_0 + \delta) \mathcal{L}, 0) = (-1)^{\alpha_0} \text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - (\lambda_0 - \delta) \mathcal{L}, 0).$$

for all sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ .

Let  $b, c \in L^1[0, 1]$ ,  $\int_0^1 b(s) ds \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda - (-2, 2)$ . Consider impulsive problem

$$x' = \lambda b(t) x + c(t) x^2, \quad \Delta^+ x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = x^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad x(0) = x(1), \quad (\text{E1})$$

or equivalently

$$x(t) = x(1) + \lambda \int_0^t b(s) x(s) ds + \int_0^t c(s) x^2(s) ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]}(s)$$

## Example (Federson, Mawhin, Mesquita)

Let  $b, c \in L^1[0, 1]$ ,  $\int_0^1 b(s) ds \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda = (-2, 2)$ . Consider impulsive problem

$$x' = \lambda b(t)x + c(t)x^2, \quad \Delta^+ x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = x^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad x(0) = x(1), \quad (\text{E1})$$

or equivalently

$$x(t) = x(1) + \underbrace{\lambda \int_0^t \overbrace{b(s)x(s)}^{(Lx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t \overbrace{c(s)x^2(s)}^{(Rx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]}(s)}_{\Phi(\lambda, x)(t)}$$

## Example (Federson, Mawhin, Mesquita)

Let  $b, c \in L^1[0, 1]$ ,  $\int_0^1 b(s) ds \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda = (-2, 2)$ . Consider impulsive problem

$$x' = \lambda b(t)x + c(t)x^2, \quad \Delta^+ x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = x^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad x(0) = x(1), \quad (\text{E1})$$

or equivalently

$$x(t) = x(1) + \underbrace{\lambda \int_0^t \overbrace{b(s)x(s)}^{(Lx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t \overbrace{c(s)x^2(s)}^{(Rx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]}(s)}_{\Phi(\lambda, x)(t)}$$

- $x_0(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution of (E1) for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = x(1) + (Lx)(t) \implies (I - \mathcal{L})z = 0 \Leftrightarrow z' = b(t)z, \quad z(0) = z(1) \Leftrightarrow z \equiv 0,$$

i.e.  $\text{Id} - \mathcal{L} : G[0, 1] \rightarrow G[0, 1]$  is invertible.

Let  $b, c \in L^1[0, 1]$ ,  $\int_0^1 b(s) ds \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda = (-2, 2)$ . Consider impulsive problem

$$x' = \lambda b(t)x + c(t)x^2, \quad \Delta^+ x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = x^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad x(0) = x(1), \quad (\text{E1})$$

or equivalently

$$x(t) = x(1) + \underbrace{\lambda \int_0^t b(s)x(s) ds}_{(Lx)(t)} + \underbrace{\int_0^t c(s)x^2(s) ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]}(s)}_{(Rx)(t)}$$

$$\Phi(\lambda, x)(t)$$

- $x_0(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution of (E1) for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = x(1) + (Lx)(t) \implies (I - \mathcal{L})z = 0 \Leftrightarrow z' = b(t)z, \quad z(0) = z(1) \Leftrightarrow z \equiv 0,$$

i.e.  $\text{Id} - \mathcal{L} : G[0, 1] \rightarrow G[0, 1]$  is invertible.

Hence,  $\text{Id} - \Phi(\lambda, x) = (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L} - (\lambda - 1)L)x - R(x)$

$$= (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L}) \left( [\text{Id} - (\lambda - 1)(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L]x - (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}R(x) \right)$$



$$x(t) = x(1) + \underbrace{\lambda \int_0^t b(s) x(s) ds}_{(Lx)(t)} + \underbrace{\int_0^t c(s) x^2(s) ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(s)}_{(Rx)(t)} \quad (\text{E1})$$

$$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\Phi(\lambda, x)(t)}$$

- $x_0(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution of (E1) for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = x(1) + (Lx)(t)$
- $I - \Phi(\lambda, x) = [I - \mathcal{L} - (\lambda - 1)L]x - R(x) = (I - \mathcal{L}) \left( [I - (\lambda - 1)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L]x - (I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}R(x) \right)$

$$x(t) = x(1) + \underbrace{\lambda \int_0^t \overbrace{b(s)x(s)}^{(Lx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t \overbrace{c(s)x^2(s)}^{(Rx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(s)}_{\Phi(\lambda, x)(t)} \quad (\text{E1})$$

- $x_0(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution of (E1) for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = x(1) + (Lx)(t)$
- $I - \Phi(\lambda, x) = [I - \mathcal{L} - (\lambda - 1)L]x - R(x) = (I - \mathcal{L}) \left( [I - (\lambda - 1)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L]x - (I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}R(x) \right)$

Furthermore,  $\lambda_0 = -1$  is the only characteristic value of  $(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L$  and, as

$$z + (\text{Id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}Lz = 0 \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{z(1) - (Lz)(t)}_{z(t) - (\mathcal{L}z)(t) + (Lz)(t)} \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow z(t) \equiv z(1),$$

its multiplicity is 1. Thus, with respect to (iii), we have for each  $\delta \in (0, 1)$

$$\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - (-1 + \delta)(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0) = -\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - (-1 - \delta)(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0).$$

$$x(t) = \underbrace{x(1) + \lambda \int_0^t \overbrace{b(s)x(s)}^{(Lx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t \overbrace{c(s)x^2(s)}^{(Rx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(s)}_{\Phi(\lambda, x)(t)} \quad (\text{E1})$$

- $x_0(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution of (E1) for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = x(1) + (Lx)(t)$
- $I - \Phi(\lambda, x) = [I - \mathcal{L} - (\lambda - 1)L]x - R(x) = (I - \mathcal{L}) \left( [I - (\lambda - 1)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L]x - (I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}R(x) \right)$
- $\text{ind}_{LS}(I - (-1 + \delta)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0) = -\text{ind}_{LS}(I - (-1 - \delta)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0)$  if  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

$$x(t) = \underbrace{x(1) + \lambda \int_0^t \overbrace{b(s)x(s)}^{(Lx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t \overbrace{c(s)x^2(s)}^{(Rx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(s)}_{\Phi(\lambda, x)(t)} \quad (\text{E1})$$

- $x_0(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution of (E1) for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = x(1) + (Lx)(t)$
- $I - \Phi(\lambda, x) = [I - \mathcal{L} - (\lambda - 1)L]x - R(x) = (I - \mathcal{L}) \left( [I - (\lambda - 1)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L]x - (I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}R(x) \right)$
- $\text{ind}_{LS}(I - (-1 + \delta)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0) = -\text{ind}_{LS}(I - (-1 - \delta)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0)$  if  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

Finally, as  $\lim_{\|x\|_\infty \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|R(x)\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} = 0$ , by (i) and (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{ind}_{LS}(I - \Phi(\delta, \cdot), 0) = \text{ind}_{LS}((I - \mathcal{L})(I - (-1 + \delta)L), 0) \\
 & = \text{ind}_{LS}((I - \mathcal{L}, 0) \text{ind}_{LS}((I - (-1 + \delta)L), 0) = -\text{ind}_{LS}((I - \mathcal{L}, 0) \text{ind}_{LS}((I - (-1 - \delta)L), 0) \\
 & = -\text{ind}_{LS}((I - \mathcal{L})(I - (-1 - \delta)L), 0) = -\text{ind}_{LS}(I - \Phi(-\delta, \cdot), 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$x(t) = x(1) + \underbrace{\lambda \int_0^t \overbrace{b(s)x(s)}^{(Lx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t \overbrace{c(s)x^2(s)}^{(Rx)(t)} ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(s)}_{\Phi(\lambda, x)(t)} \quad (E1)$$

- $x_0(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution of (E1) for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = x(1) + (Lx)(t)$
- $I - \Phi(\lambda, x) = [I - \mathcal{L} - (\lambda - 1)L]x - R(x) = (I - \mathcal{L}) \left( [I - (\lambda - 1)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L]x - (I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}R(x) \right)$
- $\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - (-1 + \delta)(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0) = -\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - (-1 - \delta)(\text{Id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0)$  if  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .
- $\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \Phi(-1 + \delta, \cdot), 0) = -\text{ind}_{LS}(\text{Id} - \Phi(-1 - \delta, \cdot), 0)$  if  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

$$x(t) = x(1) + \underbrace{\lambda \int_0^t b(s) x(s) ds + \int_0^t c(s) x^2(s) ds + \int_0^t x^2(s) d\chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(s)}_{\Phi(\lambda, x)(t)} \quad (\text{E1})$$

- $x_0(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution of (E1) for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = x(1) + (Lx)(t)$
- $I - \Phi(\lambda, x) = [I - \mathcal{L} - (\lambda - 1)L]x - R(x) = (I - \mathcal{L}) \left( [I - (\lambda - 1)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L]x - (I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}R(x) \right)$
- $\text{ind}_{LS}(I - (-1 + \delta)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0) = -\text{ind}_{LS}(I - (-1 - \delta)(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1}L, 0)$  if  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .
- $\text{ind}_{LS}(I - \Phi(-1 + \delta, \cdot), 0) = -\text{ind}_{LS}(I - \Phi(-1 - \delta, \cdot), 0)$  if  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

To summarize: by [Federson, Mawhin & Mesquita]

$\exists \delta^* > 0$  s.t. for any  $\delta \in (0, \delta^*)$  there is a bifurcation point  $(\lambda_*, 0)$  of (E1) with  $\lambda_* \in (-\delta, \delta)$ .

$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad (P)$$

$$\Phi(\lambda, x)(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) d u(s)$$

$x_0$  is a solution of (P) for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\rho > 0$  is such that  $x(t) \in \Omega$  for all  $x \in \overline{B(x_0, \rho)}$ .

### Theorem

**Assume:** for any  $(\lambda, x) \in \Lambda \times \overline{B(x_0, \rho)}$ ,  $\Phi(\lambda, \cdot)$  has a derivative  $\Phi'_x(\lambda, x)$  continuous on  $\Lambda \times \overline{B(x_0, \rho)}$  and such that

$$\text{Id} - \Phi'_x(\lambda_0, x_0) \text{ is an isomorphism of } G[0, T] \text{ onto } G[0, T].$$

**Then:**  $(\lambda_0, x_0)$  is not a bifurcation point of the problem (P).

*Sketch of proof:* We have  $\Phi(\lambda, x_0) = x_0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Abstract Implicit Function Theorem  $\Rightarrow$

there are neighborhoods  $\mathcal{V} \subset \Lambda$  of  $\lambda_0$  and  $\mathcal{W} \subset \overline{B(x_0, \rho)}$  of  $x_0$  such that

for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$  there is exactly one  $x \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $x = \Phi(\lambda, x)$ .

Thus,  $x = x_0$  is the only function satisfying the relations

$$x = \Phi(\lambda, x) \quad \text{for any } \lambda \in \mathcal{V},$$

i.e.  $(\lambda_0, x_0)$  **can not be a bifurcation point of**  $\Phi(\lambda, x) = x$ .



$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Du, \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad (P)$$

$$\Phi(\lambda, x)(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) du(s)$$

$x_0$  is a solution of (P) for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\rho > 0$  is such that  $x(t) \in \Omega$  for all  $x \in \overline{B}(x_0, \rho)$ .

### Proposition

Assume: (A), (B), (C) and

- (D) (i)  $f'_x(\lambda, \cdot, \cdot)$  is Carathéodory on  $\Omega \times [0, T]$  for  $(\lambda, t) \in \Lambda \times [0, T]$ ;  
 (ii)  $g'_x(\cdot, t)$  is continuous on  $\Omega$  for  $t \in [0, T]$  and there is  $\tilde{m}_u$  such that

$$\|g'_x(x, t)\| \leq \tilde{m}_u(t) \text{ and } \int_0^T \tilde{m}_u(s) d[\text{var}_0^s u] < \infty \text{ for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T].$$

Then:  $(\Phi'_x(\lambda, x_0)z)(t) = z(T) + \int_0^t [f'_x(\lambda, x_0(\tau), \tau)] z(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t [g'_x(x_0(\tau), \tau)] z(\tau) du(\tau)$   
 for  $z \in G[0, T]$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

$$A_\lambda(t) = f'_x(\lambda, x_0(t), t), \quad B(t) = g'_x(x_0(t), t) \Rightarrow$$

$$[\text{Id} - \tilde{\Phi}'_x(\lambda, x_0)]z = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad z(t) = z(T) + \int_0^t d \left[ \int_0^s A_\lambda(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^s B(\tau) du(\tau) \right] z(s)$$

$$Dz = [A_\lambda + B \cdot Du]z, \quad z(0) = z(T)$$



$$Dx = f(\lambda, x, t) + g(x, t) \cdot Dh, \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad (P)$$

$$\Phi(\lambda, x)(t) = x(T) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) d u(s)$$

$x_0$  is a solution of (P) for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\rho > 0$  is such that  $x(t) \in \Omega$  for all  $x \in \overline{B(x_0, \rho)}$ .

### Theorem

Assume: (A), (B), (C), (D) and put

$$A_\lambda(t) := f'_x(\lambda, x_0(t), t) \text{ for } (\lambda, t) \in \Lambda \times [0, T],$$

$$B(t) := g'_x(x_0(t), t) \text{ for } t \in [0, T].$$

Then:  $(\lambda_0, x_0)$  is not a bifurcation point of (P) whenever the equation

$$z(t) = z(T) + \int_0^t d \left[ \int_0^s A_\lambda(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^s B(\tau) d u(\tau) \right] z(s)$$

has only the trivial solution.

*Proof* relies on our assertions given above and on the Fredholm property of linear boundary value problems for GODEs.

## Example 1

Consider again

$$x(t) = x(1) + \int_0^t \lambda b(s) x(s) + c(s) x^2(s) ds + \int_0^t c(s) x^2(s) d\chi_{(1/2,1]}(s) \quad (\text{E1})$$

where  $b, c \in L^1[0, 1]$  and  $\int_0^1 b(s) ds \neq 0$ .

$x_0(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and the linearization at  $x_0 \equiv 0$  is given by

$$z' = \lambda b(t) z, \quad z(0) = z(1) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \lambda = 0 \wedge z \equiv \text{const}, \\ \lambda \neq 0 \wedge z \equiv 0. \end{cases}$$

We know that there is  $\delta^* > 0$  such that for any  $\delta \in (0, \delta^*)$  we can find  $\lambda_0 \in (-\delta, \delta)$  such that  $(\lambda_0, 0)$  is the bifurcation point of (E1).

Our Theorem implies that

$(\lambda, 0)$  is not a bifurcation point of (Ex), if  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

## Proposition (A. Lomtatidze, 2016)

Assume:  $q: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and such that

$$\int_0^T q_+(s) ds > 0, \quad 0 < \int_0^T q_-(s) ds < \frac{2}{\pi} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_0^T q_-(s) ds < \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^T q_-(s) ds\right) \left(\int_0^T q_+(s) ds\right).$$

Then: for each  $f \in L^1[0, T]$ , the problem

$$y'' + q(t)y = f(t), \quad y(0) = y(T), \quad y'(0) = y'(T)$$

has exactly one solution  $y$  and the problem has a positive Green's function.

$$[q_+(t) := \max\{q(t), 0\}, \quad q_-(t) := -\min\{q(t), 0\}]$$

## Example 2

It is known [Cid & Sanchez, 2020] that  $y(t) = (2 + \cos t)^3 =: y_0(t)$  is a solution to

$$y'' = (6.6 - 5.7 \cos t - 9 \cos^2 t) y^{1/3} - 0.3 y^{2/3}, \quad y(0) = y(2\pi), \quad y'(0) = y'(2\pi)$$

related to the [Liebau valveless pumping phenomena](#).

Obviously,  $y = y_0$  solves also for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  the impulsive problem

$$y'' = \lambda ((2 + \cos t) y' + 3 (\sin t) y) + (6.6 - 5.7 \cos t - 9 \cos^2 t) y^{1/3} - 0.3 y^{2/3}, \\ \Delta^+ y(\pi) = 2 (y(\pi))^3 - (y(\pi))^2 - 4 y(\pi) + 3, \quad y(0) = y(2\pi), \quad y'(0) = y'(2\pi),$$

or equivalently

$$x(t) = x(2\pi) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) d u(s), \quad (\text{E2})$$

where

$$x_1 = y, \quad x_2 = y', \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 x_1^3 - x_1^2 - 4 x_1 + 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u(t) = \chi_{(\pi, 2\pi]}(t),$$

$$f(\lambda, x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ \lambda ((2 + \cos t) x_2 + 3 (\sin t) x_1) + R(t) x_1^{1/3} - 0.3 x_1^{2/3} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R(t) = 6.6 - 5.7 \cos t - 9 \cos^2 t.$$

## Example 2

$$x(t) = x(2\pi) + \int_0^t f(\lambda, x(s), s) ds + \int_0^t g(x(s), s) d u(s), \quad (\text{E2})$$

$x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_0' \end{pmatrix}$  is a solution to for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Linearization of (E2) around  $x_0$  yields

$$z(t) = z(2\pi) + \int_0^t f'_x(\lambda, x_0(r), r) z(r) dr + g'_x(x_0(\pi), \pi) z(\pi) \chi_{(0, \pi]}(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 2\pi]. \quad (\text{L})$$

Inserting  $\lambda = 0$  and  $x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_0' \end{pmatrix}$  into (L), we get

$$z'' = q(t) z, \quad z(0) = z(2\pi), \quad z'(0) = z'(2\pi), \quad (\text{L}_0)$$

where

$$q(t) = \frac{3(6 - 7 \cos t - 10 \cos^2 t)}{10(2 + \cos t)^2}.$$

## Example 2

$$z'' = q(t)z, \quad z(0) = z(2\pi), \quad z'(0) = z'(2\pi) \quad \left[ q(t) = \frac{3(6 - 7 \cos t - 10 \cos^2 t)}{10(2 + \cos t)^2} \right]. \quad (L_0)$$

Using Mathematica system we get:

$$0 < 1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} q_-(s) ds \approx 0.193328$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} q_+(s) ds = \frac{1}{15} \left( (59\sqrt{3} - 60)\pi - 2\sqrt{3}(6 + \arctan 1/3) \right) \approx 3.06682,$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} q_-(s) ds \approx 0.513543 < \left( 1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} q_-(s) ds \right) \left( \int_0^{2\pi} q_+(s) ds \right) \approx 0.592902.$$

Hence, by Lomtatidze, linear problem  $(L_0)$  has only the trivial solution, i.e.  $\Phi'_x(0, x_0)$  is an isomorphism, and we can conclude:

There is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\lambda| + \|x - x_0\|_\infty < \delta \implies (\lambda, x)$  is not a bifurcation point of (E2).

- C. Mesquita, M. Tvrđý: Note on the bifurcation of periodic solutions of generalized ODEs, arXiv:2401.12837 [math.DS].
- M. Federson, J. Mawhin, C. Mesquita: Existence of periodic solutions and bifurcation points for generalized ordinary differential equations, *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques* 169 (2021), 102991.
- H. Amann: *Ordinary Differential Equations. An introduction to nonlinear analysis*. Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 13. Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin, 1990.
- M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ, P. P. Zabreĭko: *Geometrical Methods of Nonlinear Analysis* (in Russian) Nauka, Moskva, 1975. (Translated by C. Fenske as *Geometrical Methods of Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- E. Zeidler: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications I: Fixed-Point Theorems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- P. C. Das, R. R. Sharma: Existence and stability of measure differential equations. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 22 (1972) 145–158.
- A. Slavík: Generalized differential equations: differentiability of solutions with respect to initial conditions and parameters, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 402(1) (2013), 261–274.
- M. Tvrđý: Linear distributional differential equations of the second order. *Math. Bohem.* 119 (1994), 415–436.

- E. M. Bonotto, M. Federson, J. G. Mesquita (Eds.): *Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations in Abstract Spaces and Applications* John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2021.
- G.A. Monteiro, A. Slavík, M. Tvrdý M.: *Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral. Theory and Applications*, World Scientific, Series in Real Analysis, Vol.15, 2018.
- Š. Schwabik: *Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Series in Real Anal., vol. 5, 1992.
- J.Á. Cid, G. Propst, M Tvrdý: On the pumping effect in a pipe/tank flow configuration with friction *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* 273-274 (2014) 28–33.
- J.Á. Cid, L. Sanchez: Nonnegative oscillations for a class of differential equations without uniqueness: A variational approach. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-B* 25 (2) (2020), 545–554.
- R. Hakl, P.J. Torres: Maximum and antimaximum principles for a second order differential operator with variable coefficients of indefinite sign. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2011) 7599–7611.
- A. Lomtatidze: Theorems on differential inequalities and periodic boundary value problem for second-order ordinary differential equations. *Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics* 67 (1) (2016) 1–129.