

The Inverse Problem for Periodic Travelling Waves of the Linear 1D Shallow-Water Equations

Robert Hakl

Institute of Mathematics CAS, Czech Republic

Czech-Georgian Workshop
Brno, July 2-4, 2024

The motion of small amplitude waves of a water layer with variable depth along the x -axis is described by the equations of the shallow water theory

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + g \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (1)$$

The motion of small amplitude waves of a water layer with variable depth along the x -axis is described by the equations of the shallow water theory

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + g \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where

- $\eta(x, t)$ is the vertical water surface elevation

The motion of small amplitude waves of a water layer with variable depth along the x -axis is described by the equations of the shallow water theory

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + g \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where

- $\eta(x, t)$ is the vertical water surface elevation
- $u(x, t)$ is the depth-averaged water flow velocity (also called wave velocity)

The motion of small amplitude waves of a water layer with variable depth along the x -axis is described by the equations of the shallow water theory

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + g \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where

- $\eta(x, t)$ is the vertical water surface elevation
- $u(x, t)$ is the depth-averaged water flow velocity (also called wave velocity)
- $h(x)$ is the unperturbed water depth

The motion of small amplitude waves of a water layer with variable depth along the x -axis is described by the equations of the shallow water theory

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + g \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where

- $\eta(x, t)$ is the vertical water surface elevation
- $u(x, t)$ is the depth-averaged water flow velocity (also called wave velocity)
- $h(x)$ is the unperturbed water depth
- g is the gravity acceleration (we assume without loss of generality that $g = 1$)

The motion of small amplitude waves of a water layer with variable depth along the x -axis is described by the equations of the shallow water theory

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + g \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where

- $\eta(x, t)$ is the vertical water surface elevation
- $u(x, t)$ is the depth-averaged water flow velocity (also called wave velocity)
- $h(x)$ is the unperturbed water depth
- g is the gravity acceleration (we assume without loss of generality that $g = 1$)

The shallow water equations conform a system of coupled PDEs of first order that can be easily decoupled into a single wave equation for the water flow velocity

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

or for the surface elevation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0. \quad (3)$$

The water flow velocity equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

The surface elevation equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0. \quad (3)$$

The water flow velocity equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

The surface elevation equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0. \quad (3)$$

A travelling wave is a special solution of the form

$$q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]),$$

where both q and Ψ are scalar real-valued functions.

The water flow velocity equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

The surface elevation equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0. \quad (3)$$

A travelling wave is a special solution of the form

$$q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]),$$

where both q and Ψ are scalar real-valued functions. In the related literature,

- $q(x)$ is known as the amplitude of the travelling wave
- ω is the frequency
- $\Psi(x)$ is the phase

The water flow velocity equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

The surface elevation equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0. \quad (3)$$

A travelling wave is a special solution of the form

$$q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]),$$

where both q and Ψ are scalar real-valued functions. In the related literature,

- $q(x)$ is known as the amplitude of the travelling wave
- ω is the frequency
- $\Psi(x)$ is the phase

The inverse problem:

Given a prescribed amplitude $q(x)$, can we determine a suitable bottom profile $h(x)$ allowing the equation to admit a travelling wave with amplitude $q(x)$?

Water Flow Velocity Eq.

C_T^+ will denote the space of continuous scalar T -periodic functions with positive values.

Water Flow Velocity Eq.

C_T^+ will denote the space of continuous scalar T -periodic functions with positive values. Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, we wonder if there exists $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

Water Flow Velocity Eq.

C_T^+ will denote the space of continuous scalar T -periodic functions with positive values. Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, we wonder if there exists $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

has a travelling wave

$$u(x, t) = q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]).$$

Water Flow Velocity Eq.

C_T^+ will denote the space of continuous scalar T -periodic functions with positive values. Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, we wonder if there exists $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

has a travelling wave

$$u(x, t) = q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]).$$

$$(hq)'' + \omega^2 q - hq\Psi'^2 = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$2(hq)'\Psi' + hq\Psi'' = 0. \quad (5)$$

C_T^+ will denote the space of continuous scalar T -periodic functions with positive values. Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, we wonder if there exists $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

has a travelling wave

$$u(x, t) = q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]).$$

$$(hq)'' + \omega^2 q - hq\Psi'^2 = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$2(hq)'\Psi' + hq\Psi'' = 0. \quad (5)$$

From (5), we deduce that $[(hq)^2\Psi']' = 0$, and $(hq)^2\Psi'$ is a conserved quantity.

C_T^+ will denote the space of continuous scalar T -periodic functions with positive values. Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, we wonder if there exists $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

has a travelling wave

$$u(x, t) = q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]).$$

$$(hq)'' + \omega^2 q - hq\Psi'^2 = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$2(hq)'\Psi' + hq\Psi'' = 0. \quad (5)$$

From (5), we deduce that $[(hq)^2\Psi']' = 0$, and $(hq)^2\Psi'$ is a conserved quantity. This means that there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$[h(x)q(x)]^2 \Psi'(x) = \alpha, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6)$$

C_T^+ will denote the space of continuous scalar T -periodic functions with positive values. Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, we wonder if there exists $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [h(x)u] = 0, \quad (2)$$

has a travelling wave

$$u(x, t) = q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]).$$

$$(hq)'' + \omega^2 q - hq\Psi'^2 = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$2(hq)'\Psi' + hq\Psi'' = 0. \quad (5)$$

From (5), we deduce that $[(hq)^2\Psi']' = 0$, and $(hq)^2\Psi'$ is a conserved quantity. This means that there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$[h(x)q(x)]^2 \Psi'(x) = \alpha, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6)$$

Now, we insert (6) into (4) and arrive to a single second order ODE

$$(hq)'' + \omega^2 q = \frac{\alpha^2}{(hq)^3}. \quad (7)$$

$$(hq)'' + \omega^2 q = \frac{\alpha^2}{(hq)^3} \quad (7)$$

Theorem 1

There exists a solution $h \in C_T^+$ of (7) for any $\alpha \neq 0$, $\omega \neq 0$.

$$(hq)'' + \omega^2 q = \frac{\alpha^2}{(hq)^3} \quad (7)$$

Theorem 1

There exists a solution $h \in C_T^+$ of (7) for any $\alpha \neq 0$, $\omega \neq 0$.

Proof. By introducing the change of variables $y = hq$ into (7), we get the equation

$$y'' + \omega^2 q = \frac{\alpha^2}{y^3}.$$

Now, the result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.12 in

[LS] A.C. Lazer, S. Solimini, *On periodic solutions of nonlinear differential equations with singularities*, Proc. American Math. Society 99, No. 1, 1987.

Surface Elevation Eq.

Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, the problem is to find $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0 \quad (3)$$

Surface Elevation Eq.

Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, the problem is to find $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0 \quad (3)$$

has a travelling wave of the form

$$\eta(x, t) = q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]).$$

Surface Elevation Eq.

Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, the problem is to find $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0 \quad (3)$$

has a travelling wave of the form

$$\eta(x, t) = q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]).$$

$$(hq')' + \omega^2 q - hq\Psi'^2 = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$(hq\Psi')' + hq'\Psi' = 0. \quad (9)$$

Surface Elevation Eq.

Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, the problem is to find $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0 \quad (3)$$

has a travelling wave of the form

$$\eta(x, t) = q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]).$$

$$(hq')' + \omega^2 q - hq\Psi'^2 = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$(hq\Psi')' + hq'\Psi' = 0. \quad (9)$$

Now, the conserved quantity coming from (9) is

$$h(x)q(x)^2\Psi'(x) = \alpha, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Surface Elevation Eq.

Given a fixed $q \in C_T^+$, the problem is to find $h \in C_T^+$ such that Eq.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] = 0 \quad (3)$$

has a travelling wave of the form

$$\eta(x, t) = q(x) \exp(i[\omega t - \Psi(x)]).$$

$$(hq')' + \omega^2 q - hq\Psi'^2 = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$(hq\Psi')' + hq'\Psi' = 0. \quad (9)$$

Now, the conserved quantity coming from (9) is

$$h(x)q(x)^2\Psi'(x) = \alpha, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using this information in (8), we arrive at the equation

$$(hq')' + \omega^2 q = \frac{\alpha^2}{hq^3}. \quad (10)$$

We assume that $q(x)$ is a T -periodic and positive function of class C^2 with a finite number of critical points in $[0, T]$, all of them non-degenerate, that is, if $q'(x) = 0$ then $q''(x) \neq 0$. Under this assumption, we can divide the interval $[0, T]$ into subintervals $[a, b]$ such that $q'(x)$ is of a constant sign on (a, b) and $q'(a) = q'(b) = 0$. Then, the substitution

$$u(x) = \frac{(h(x)q'(x))^2}{2\omega^4} \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b) \quad (11)$$

transforms

$$(hq')' + \omega^2 q = \frac{\alpha^2}{hq^3} \quad (10)$$

into the equation

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \operatorname{sgn}(q') \sqrt{2u(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b), \quad (12)$$

where $\lambda = \alpha/\omega^2$.

Surface Elevation Eq.

We consider an interval $[a, b]$ such that $q \in C^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$q(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad q'(a) = 0, \quad q'(b) = 0, \quad q'(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b). \quad (13)$$

and

$$q''(a) > 0, \quad q''(b) < 0. \quad (14)$$

Surface Elevation Eq.

We consider an interval $[a, b]$ such that $q \in C^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$q(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad q'(a) = 0, \quad q'(b) = 0, \quad q'(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b). \quad (13)$$

and

$$q''(a) > 0, \quad q''(b) < 0. \quad (14)$$

In such an interval, eq. (12) reads as

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2u(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b). \quad (15)$$

Surface Elevation Eq.

We consider an interval $[a, b]$ such that $q \in C^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$q(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad q'(a) = 0, \quad q'(b) = 0, \quad q'(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b). \quad (13)$$

and

$$q''(a) > 0, \quad q''(b) < 0. \quad (14)$$

In such an interval, eq. (12) reads as

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2u(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b). \quad (15)$$

For technical reasons, we are going to embed this equation into

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|u(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b]. \quad (16)$$

Obviously, non-negative solutions of (15) and (16) are the same.

Surface Elevation Eq.

We consider an interval $[a, b]$ such that $q \in C^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$q(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad q'(a) = 0, \quad q'(b) = 0, \quad q'(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b). \quad (13)$$

and

$$q''(a) > 0, \quad q''(b) < 0. \quad (14)$$

In such an interval, eq. (12) reads as

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2u(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b). \quad (15)$$

For technical reasons, we are going to embed this equation into

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|u(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b]. \quad (16)$$

Obviously, non-negative solutions of (15) and (16) are the same.

A solution to (16) is understood in the classical sense, that is, a function $u \in C^1([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (16) for every $x \in [a, b]$. We will investigate the properties of a solution to (16) subject to the initial condition

$$u(a) = 0. \quad (17)$$

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|u(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad (16)$$

$$u(a) = 0. \quad (17)$$

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|u(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad (16)$$

$$u(a) = 0. \quad (17)$$

QUESTIONS:

- When $u(b) = 0$?

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|u(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad (16)$$

$$u(a) = 0. \quad (17)$$

QUESTIONS:

- When $u(b) = 0$?
- Do there exist one-sided limits

$$l_a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} \frac{\sqrt{2u(x)}}{q'(x)}, \quad l_b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} \frac{\sqrt{2u(x)}}{q'(x)} \quad ?$$

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|u(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad (16)$$

$$u(a) = 0. \quad (17)$$

QUESTIONS:

- When $u(b) = 0$?
- Do there exist one-sided limits

$$\ell_a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} \frac{\sqrt{2u(x)}}{q'(x)}, \quad \ell_b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} \frac{\sqrt{2u(x)}}{q'(x)} \quad ?$$

- What the values ℓ_a and ℓ_b are equal to?

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|u(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad (16)$$

$$u(a) = 0. \quad (17)$$

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|u(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad (16)$$

$$u(a) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Theorem 2

There exists a threshold $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that

- (i) if $0 < |\lambda| < \lambda_0$, the unique solution u of (16), (17) verifies $u(b) = 0$. Moreover, l_a and l_b are respectively the unique positive root of

$$y^2 + \frac{q(a)}{q''(a)} y - \frac{\lambda^2}{q^3(a)q''(a)} = 0. \quad (18)$$

and the smaller root of

$$y^2 - \frac{q(b)}{|q''(b)|} y + \frac{\lambda^2}{q^3(b)|q''(b)|} = 0. \quad (19)$$

- (ii) if $|\lambda| = \lambda_0$, the unique solution u of (16), (17) verifies $u(b) = 0$. Moreover, l_a and l_b are respectively the unique positive root of (18) and a root of (19).
- (iii) if $|\lambda| > \lambda_0$, the unique solution u of (16), (17) verifies $u(b) > 0$.

Consider now an interval $[\check{a}, \check{b}]$ such that $q \in C^2([\check{a}, \check{b}]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$q(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [\check{a}, \check{b}], \quad q'(\check{a}) = 0, \quad q'(\check{b}) = 0, \quad q'(x) < 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (\check{a}, \check{b}).$$

and

$$q''(\check{a}) < 0, \quad q''(\check{b}) > 0.$$

In this interval, Eq. (12) reads

$$u'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} + q(x) \sqrt{2u(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in (\check{a}, \check{b}). \quad (20)$$

Note that the function $\check{q}(x) = q(-x)$ verifies (13) and (14) in the interval $[a, b] = [-\check{b}, -\check{a}]$. Moreover, $v(x) = u(-x)$ satisfies the equation

$$v'(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 \check{q}'(x)}{\check{q}^3(x)} - \check{q}(x) \sqrt{2v(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b), \quad (21)$$

which is just (15). In conclusion, the case of an interval where q is decreasing can be reduced to the case studied above.

$$(hq')' + \omega^2 q = \frac{\alpha^2}{hq^3} \quad (10)$$

Main Result

Let us assume that q is a T -periodic and positive function of class C^2 with a finite number of critical points in $[0, T]$, all of them non-degenerate, that is, if $q'(x) = 0$ then $q''(x) \neq 0$. Then, there exists a threshold $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that

- (i) there exists a positive T -periodic solution h of (10) provided $0 < \left| \frac{\alpha}{\omega^2} \right| < \lambda_0$,
- (ii) no positive T -periodic solution of (10) exists provided $\left| \frac{\alpha}{\omega^2} \right| > \lambda_0$.

Moreover,

$$\frac{q_*^5}{4|q_0|} < \lambda_0^2 \leq \min \left\{ \frac{q^5(b)}{4|q''(b)|} : q'(b) = 0, q''(b) < 0 \right\}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$q_* \stackrel{def}{=} \min\{q(x) : x \in [0, T]\}, \quad q_0 \stackrel{def}{=} \min\{q''(x) : x \in [0, T]\}. \quad (23)$$

Theorem 3

Let there exist positive constants λ_1 and λ_2 such that $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, and let $v, w \in AC([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$v'(x) \geq \frac{\lambda_1^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|v(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} v(x) \quad \text{for a. e. } x \in [a, b],$$

$$w'(x) \leq \frac{\lambda_2^2 q'(x)}{q^3(x)} - q(x) \sqrt{2|w(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} w(x) \quad \text{for a. e. } x \in [a, b],$$

$$v(a) \geq 0 \geq w(a), \quad v(b) = 0 = w(b)$$

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow b^-} \frac{\sqrt{2|w(x)|} \operatorname{sgn} w(x)}{q'(x)} > y_1(\lambda_2),$$

where $y_1(\lambda_2)$ is the smaller root of (19) with $\lambda = \lambda_2$. Then, the threshold λ_0 admits the estimate

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_2. \quad (24)$$

Corollary

Let there exist positive constants λ_1 and λ_2 such that $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, let $q \in C^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$, and let $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in C^1([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\ell_i(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b] \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (25)$$

$$\ell_1(x) \left(\ell_1'(x)q'(x) + \ell_1(x)q''(x) \right) \geq \frac{\lambda_1^2}{q^3(x)} - q(x)\ell_1(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad (26)$$

$$\ell_2(x) \left(\ell_2'(x)q'(x) + \ell_2(x)q''(x) \right) \leq \frac{\lambda_2^2}{q^3(x)} - q(x)\ell_2(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b], \quad (27)$$

$$\ell_2(b) > y_1(\lambda_2). \quad (28)$$

where $y_1(\lambda_2)$ is the smaller root of (19) with $\lambda = \lambda_2$. Then, the threshold λ_0 admits the estimate

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_2. \quad (24)$$

Example

Consider $q(x) = 2 - \cos x$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Example

Consider $q(x) = 2 - \cos x$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then local extremes of q divide the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ into two subintervals, in particular, we set $T = 2\pi$, $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = \pi$, $x_1 + T = 2\pi$.

Example

Consider $q(x) = 2 - \cos x$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then local extremes of q divide the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ into two subintervals, in particular, we set $T = 2\pi$, $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = \pi$, $x_1 + T = 2\pi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}q'(x) &> 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \pi), & q'(x) &< 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (\pi, 2\pi), \\q'(0) = q'(\pi) = q'(2\pi) &= 0, & q''(0) = q''(2\pi) &= 1, & q''(\pi) &= -1.\end{aligned}$$

Example

Consider $q(x) = 2 - \cos x$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then local extremes of q divide the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ into two subintervals, in particular, we set $T = 2\pi$, $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = \pi$, $x_1 + T = 2\pi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}q'(x) &> 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \pi), & q'(x) &< 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (\pi, 2\pi), \\q'(0) = q'(\pi) = q'(2\pi) &= 0, & q''(0) = q''(2\pi) &= 1, & q''(\pi) &= -1.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since q is symmetric with respect to π , we can easily conclude that the thresholds corresponding to each subinterval has the same value, i.e., $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{01} = \lambda_{02}$.

Example

Consider $q(x) = 2 - \cos x$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then local extremes of q divide the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ into two subintervals, in particular, we set $T = 2\pi$, $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = \pi$, $x_1 + T = 2\pi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}q'(x) &> 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \pi), & q'(x) &< 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (\pi, 2\pi), \\q'(0) = q'(\pi) = q'(2\pi) &= 0, & q''(0) = q''(2\pi) &= 1, & q''(\pi) &= -1.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since q is symmetric with respect to π , we can easily conclude that the thresholds corresponding to each subinterval has the same value, i.e., $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{01} = \lambda_{02}$. Thus, according to Main Result, the threshold λ_0 satisfies the inequalities

$$0.25 = \frac{1}{4} < \lambda_0^2 \leq \frac{243}{4} = 60.75.$$

Example

Consider $q(x) = 2 - \cos x$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then local extremes of q divide the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ into two subintervals, in particular, we set $T = 2\pi$, $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = \pi$, $x_1 + T = 2\pi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}q'(x) &> 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \pi), & q'(x) &< 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (\pi, 2\pi), \\q'(0) = q'(\pi) = q'(2\pi) &= 0, & q''(0) = q''(2\pi) &= 1, & q''(\pi) &= -1.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since q is symmetric with respect to π , we can easily conclude that the thresholds corresponding to each subinterval has the same value, i.e., $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{01} = \lambda_{02}$. Thus, according to Main Result, the threshold λ_0 satisfies the inequalities

$$0.25 = \frac{1}{4} < \lambda_0^2 \leq \frac{243}{4} = 60.75.$$

Let us see how to improve the above-mentioned estimate by constructing a specific upper and lower functions.

Example

Consider $q(x) = 2 - \cos x$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then local extremes of q divide the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ into two subintervals, in particular, we set $T = 2\pi$, $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = \pi$, $x_1 + T = 2\pi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}q'(x) &> 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \pi), & q'(x) &< 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (\pi, 2\pi), \\q'(0) = q'(\pi) = q'(2\pi) &= 0, & q''(0) = q''(2\pi) &= 1, & q''(\pi) &= -1.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since q is symmetric with respect to π , we can easily conclude that the thresholds corresponding to each subinterval has the same value, i.e., $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{01} = \lambda_{02}$. Thus, according to Main Result, the threshold λ_0 satisfies the inequalities

$$0.25 = \frac{1}{4} < \lambda_0^2 \leq \frac{243}{4} = 60.75.$$

Let us see how to improve the above-mentioned estimate by constructing a specific upper and lower functions.

According to Corollary it is sufficient to find suitable functions $\ell_1(x)$ and $\ell_2(x)$ that satisfy (25)–(28). Obviously, we can start with positive constant functions.

Example

Consider $q(x) = 2 - \cos x$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then local extremes of q divide the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ into two subintervals, in particular, we set $T = 2\pi$, $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = \pi$, $x_1 + T = 2\pi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}q'(x) &> 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \pi), & q'(x) &< 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (\pi, 2\pi), \\q'(0) = q'(\pi) = q'(2\pi) &= 0, & q''(0) = q''(2\pi) &= 1, & q''(\pi) &= -1.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since q is symmetric with respect to π , we can easily conclude that the thresholds corresponding to each subinterval has the same value, i.e., $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{01} = \lambda_{02}$. Thus, according to Main Result, the threshold λ_0 satisfies the inequalities

$$0.25 = \frac{1}{4} < \lambda_0^2 \leq \frac{243}{4} = 60.75.$$

Let us see how to improve the above-mentioned estimate by constructing a specific upper and lower functions.

According to Corollary it is sufficient to find suitable functions $\ell_1(x)$ and $\ell_2(x)$ that satisfy (25)–(28). Obviously, we can start with positive constant functions. Then, if we put

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1^2 &\stackrel{def}{=} \min \left\{ (\ell_1^2 q''(x) + \ell_1 q(x)) q^3(x) : x \in [0, \pi] \right\}, \\ \lambda_2^2 &\stackrel{def}{=} \max \left\{ (\ell_2^2 q''(x) + \ell_2 q(x)) q^3(x) : x \in [0, \pi] \right\},\end{aligned}$$

we can easily verify that the inequalities (26) and (27) with $a = 0$, $b = \pi$ are fulfilled. Consequently, if also (28) is fulfilled, then we can conclude that (24) holds.

Example

As a first approximation we can put

$$l_1 = l_2 = \frac{3}{2},$$

because $3/2 \in (y_1(\lambda), y_2(\lambda))$, no matter what $\lambda^2 < 60.75$ is.

Example

As a first approximation we can put

$$l_1 = l_2 = \frac{3}{2},$$

because $3/2 \in (y_1(\lambda), y_2(\lambda))$, no matter what $\lambda^2 < 60.75$ is.

Then, we get

$$\lambda_1^2 = 3.75, \quad \lambda_2^2 = 60.75.$$

However, in this case $l_2 = y_1(\lambda_2) = y_2(\lambda_2)$, and so only the lower estimate is improved:

$$3.75 \leq \lambda_0^2 \leq 60.75.$$

Example

As a first approximation we can put

$$\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \frac{3}{2},$$

because $3/2 \in (y_1(\lambda), y_2(\lambda))$, no matter what $\lambda^2 < 60.75$ is.

Then, we get

$$\lambda_1^2 = 3.75, \quad \lambda_2^2 = 60.75.$$

However, in this case $\ell_2 = y_1(\lambda_2) = y_2(\lambda_2)$, and so only the lower estimate is improved:

$$3.75 \leq \lambda_0^2 \leq 60.75.$$

Analyzing the function $x \mapsto (\ell^2 q''(x) + \ell q(x))q^3(x)$ in more details, one can show that the optimal values for constant functions ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are

$$\ell_1 = \frac{20}{7}, \quad \ell_2 = \frac{5}{2}.$$

Example

As a first approximation we can put

$$\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \frac{3}{2},$$

because $3/2 \in (y_1(\lambda), y_2(\lambda))$, no matter what $\lambda^2 < 60.75$ is.

Then, we get

$$\lambda_1^2 = 3.75, \quad \lambda_2^2 = 60.75.$$

However, in this case $\ell_2 = y_1(\lambda_2) = y_2(\lambda_2)$, and so only the lower estimate is improved:

$$3.75 \leq \lambda_0^2 \leq 60.75.$$

Analyzing the function $x \mapsto (\ell^2 q''(x) + \ell q(x))q^3(x)$ in more details, one can show that the optimal values for constant functions ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are

$$\ell_1 = \frac{20}{7}, \quad \ell_2 = \frac{5}{2}.$$

Then, we get

$$\lambda_1^2 = \frac{540}{49} \approx 11.020408163, \quad \lambda_2^2 = \frac{3125}{64} = 48.828125, \quad y_1(\lambda_2) \approx 0.835507015894,$$

and we have the estimate

$$\frac{540}{49} \leq \lambda_0^2 \leq \frac{3125}{64}.$$

Example

Let us pass to nonconstant functions $\ell_1(x)$ and $\ell_2(x)$. Then the choice

$$\ell_i(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_i + b_i \cos x + c_i \sin x + d_i \sin x \cos x \quad \text{for } x \in [0, \pi] \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 4.265, & b_1 &= 1.639, & c_1 &= -1.075, & d_1 &= -0.778, \\ a_2 &= 3.605, & b_2 &= 1.025, & c_2 &= -0.408, & d_2 &= -0.222, \end{aligned}$$

guarantees that $\ell_1(x)$ and $\ell_2(x)$ satisfy (25)–(28) with $a = 0$, $b = \pi$, $\lambda_1^2 = 26.4$, and $\lambda_2^2 = 31.68$.

Example

Let us pass to nonconstant functions $\ell_1(x)$ and $\ell_2(x)$. Then the choice

$$\ell_i(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_i + b_i \cos x + c_i \sin x + d_i \sin x \cos x \quad \text{for } x \in [0, \pi] \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 4.265, & b_1 &= 1.639, & c_1 &= -1.075, & d_1 &= -0.778, \\ a_2 &= 3.605, & b_2 &= 1.025, & c_2 &= -0.408, & d_2 &= -0.222, \end{aligned}$$

guarantees that $\ell_1(x)$ and $\ell_2(x)$ satisfy (25)–(28) with $a = 0$, $b = \pi$, $\lambda_1^2 = 26.4$, and $\lambda_2^2 = 31.68$. Furthermore, note also that

$$\ell_1(\pi) < y_2(\lambda_1), \quad y_2(\lambda_2) < \ell_2(\pi) \quad (29)$$

where $y_2(\lambda_i)$ is the greater root of (19) with $\lambda = \lambda_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Indeed,

$$\ell_1(\pi) = 2.626, \quad y_2(\lambda_1) \approx 2.62792828771, \quad y_2(\lambda_2) \approx 2.53762549442, \quad \ell_2(\pi) = 2.58$$

The condition (29) is stronger than (28) and allows strict inequalities in the threshold estimate. Therefore, according to Corollary we have

$$26.4 < \lambda_0^2 < 31.68.$$